

# Programming Fundamentals 2

---

Pierre Talbot

18 May 2021

University of Luxembourg



## Chapter IX. Parametric Polymorphism

# Introduction

- **Context:** In lab 2, you implemented `DynamicArray`.
- **Problem:** It can only store integer values.
- **Today:** How can we design an array for any kind of values?

```
public class DynamicArray {  
    private ?? data;  
  
    public DynamicArray() { ?? }  
    public int size() { ?? }  
    public boolean add(?? e) { ?? }  
    public ?? get(int index) { ?? }  
}
```

## Solution 1: with Object

We can use an array of Object, since, remember, every class inherits from Object.

```
public class ArrayList {
    static final int DEFAULT_CAPACITY = 10;
    private Object[] data;
    private int size = 0;

    public ArrayList() { data = new Object[DEFAULT_CAPACITY]; }
    public int size() { return size; }
    public void add(Object e) {
        ensureCapacity();
        data[size] = e;
        ++size;
    }
    public Object get(int i) {
        if(i < 0 || i >= size) { throw OutOfBoundException(); }
        return data[i];
    }
    private void ensureCapacity() { /* ... */ }
}
```

## Problems...

- Make a list of String.
- Add and retrieve a string with this list.
- Is it easy and readable?

## Problems...

- Make a list of String.
- Add and retrieve a string with this list.
- Is it easy and readable?

The *downcast* `(String)e`; is not very readable and secure, why?

```
ArrayList personNames = new ArrayList();
personNames.add(new String("Gertrude"));
personNames.add(new String("Johnny"));
Object e = personNames.get(1);
String name = (String)e;
```

## Problems...

- Make a list of String.
- Add and retrieve a string with this list.
- Is it easy and readable?

The *downcast* `(String)e`; is not very readable and secure, why?

```
ArrayList personNames = new ArrayList();  
personNames.add(new String("Gertrude"));  
personNames.add(new String("Johnny"));  
Object e = personNames.get(1);  
String name = (String)e;
```

Exception `ClassCastException` for:

```
Integer i = (Integer)e;
```

## And so?

- Until Java 5.0, it was the only solution.
- In Java 5.0, the concept of *generics* enables parametric polymorphism.

### What are the problems of an array of `Object`?

- *Casts* are required.
- No compile-time check if the *cast* is invalid.
- For instance: `House h = (House)e`, in the previous example, compiles, but an exception is thrown at runtime.



# Parametric polymorphism: don't repeat yourself!

- To avoid casts, we could create a `ArrayList` class for each types, e.g., `ArrayListInteger` or `ArrayListPokemonCard`.
- But the implementation of the methods would be **redundant**.
- Actually, **we don't even need to know the underlying type** to implement these methods!
- **Solution**: Use generics!

## Advantages

- The code is safer and more readable.
- Decrease runtime casts.
- Allows us to write generic classes and algorithms more easily.

## Solution 2: Generics (first try)

```
public class ArrayList<T> {  
    static final int DEFAULT_CAPACITY = 10;  
    private T[] data;  
    private int size = 0;  
  
    public ArrayList() { data = new T[DEFAULT_CAPACITY]; }  
    public int size() { return size; }  
    public void add(T e) { /* as in solution 1 */ }  
    public T get(int i) { /* as in solution 1 */ }  
    private void ensureCapacity() { /* */ }  
}
```

- ArrayList<T> is now parametric in a type T.
- ArrayList<T> remains a class, that can be used as a “normal class”.

## A subtlety (second try)

```
public class ArrayList<T> {  
    static final int DEFAULT_CAPACITY = 10;  
    private T[] data;  
    private int size = 0;  
  
    public ArrayList() { data = (T[]) new Object[DEFAULT_CAPACITY];}  
    public int size() { return size; }  
    public void add(T e) { /* idem */ }  
    public T get(int i) { /* idem */ }  
    private void ensureCapacity() { /* */ }  
}
```

Java does not support creating array of generic elements. Therefore, we create an array of objects that we cast immediately to the generic type.

## Backward compatible extension

- When generics were introduced, a lot of code already exists, so this existing code should not break with new Java version.
- **Solution:** Generics are *erased* at compile-time, and transformed into `Object`.
- Hence, generics are actually transformed to the code we had in solution 1, but we have additional safety guarantees.

## Two techniques

1. *Code expansion* (such as in C++), a new class is automatically created for each class instantiation:
  - `ArrayList<Double>`  $\rightarrow$  `ArrayListDouble`
  - `ArrayList<String>`  $\rightarrow$  `ArrayListString`
  - The parametric type T is replaced by the real one.
2. *Type erasure* (as in Java)
  - The parametric type T is replaced by a super type (`Object`).
  - Type conversions are added by the compiler automatically.
  - Generated code is the same as for solution 2.

## Two usages of generic classes, a single code

- In Java, the generic type is replaced by `Object`.
- Which means that we can actually use `ArrayList` as a generic class **or not**.
- For instance, we can write `ArrayList` without generic parameter, and we will have a class with array of objects.

# Two usages of generic classes, a single code

## Non-generic usage

```
ArrayList x = new ArrayList();  
x.add(new String("M. George"));  
x.add(new Integer(0));  
String name = (String)x.get(0);
```

# Two usages of generic classes, a single code

## Non-generic usage

```
ArrayList x = new ArrayList();  
x.add(new String("M. George"));  
x.add(new Integer(0));  
String name = (String)x.get(0);
```

```
Line 2: warning: [unchecked] unchecked call to add(T) as a member  
of the raw type ArrayList
```

```
x.add(new String("M. George"));
```

```
Line 3: warning: [unchecked] unchecked call to add(T) as a member  
of the raw type ArrayList
```

```
x.add(new Integer(0));
```



# Two usages of generic classes, a single code

## Non-generic usage

```
ArrayList x = new ArrayList();  
x.add(new String("M. George"));  
x.add(new Integer(0));  
String name = (String)x.get(0);
```

```
Line 2: warning: [unchecked] unchecked call to add(T) as a member  
of the raw type ArrayList
```

```
x.add(new String("M. George"));
```

```
Line 3: warning: [unchecked] unchecked call to add(T) as a member  
of the raw type ArrayList
```

```
x.add(new Integer(0));
```

- Compiler will output *warnings*.
- Heterogeneous array (several types) are generally a bad idea, it is better to use inheritance or enumeration instead.
- Always the risk to generate an exception if we mess up the cast.

# Two usages of generic classes, a single code

## Generic usage

```
ArrayList<String> x = new ArrayList<String>();  
x.add(new String("M. George"));  
x.add(new Integer(0));  
String name = x.get(0);
```

# Two usages of generic classes, a single code

## Generic usage

```
ArrayList<String> x = new ArrayList<String>();  
x.add(new String("M. George"));  
x.add(new Integer(0));  
String name = x.get(0);
```

```
Line 3: error: incompatible types: Integer cannot be converted  
        to String  
        x.add(new Integer(0));
```

# Two usages of generic classes, a single code

## Generic usage

```
ArrayList<String> x = new ArrayList<String>();  
x.add(new String("M. George"));  
x.add(new Integer(0));  
String name = x.get(0);
```

```
Line 3: error: incompatible types: Integer cannot be converted  
        to String  
        x.add(new Integer(0));
```

- The compiler generates an *error*.
- It guarantees we can only put in the list what is specified in the angle brackets (`ArrayList<MyType>`).
- No need to *cast* when we use `get`, we give the compiler enough information so it can safely add the cast itself.

# Advanced concepts of generics

# Multiple generics parameters

- Some classes need several generics type.
- For instance in the associative array data structure.

## Associative array

- Associate a key to a value. For instance, the name of someone to its address.
- `HashMap<String, Address> directory = new HashMap<String, Address>();`

```
public class SimpleMap<K,V> { // Key and Value
    private ArrayList<Pair<K,V>> data;
    private static class Pair<K,V> {
        public K key;
        public V value;
    }
    // ...
}
```

- Type inference allows us to ask the compiler to *guess* (or *infer*) the type of an expression.
- It is not very powerful in Java but still useful for clarity.

```
HashMap<String, Address> directory = new HashMap<>();
```

## Challenge

Create a static method `head` which takes an `ArrayList` and returns the first element.



## Challenge

Create a static method `head` which takes an `ArrayList` and returns the first element.

## Non-generic

```
public class ArrayListTools {  
    public static Object head(ArrayList data) {  
        return data.get(0);  
    }  
}
```

## Generic methods II

Is it working if we write the following?

```
ArrayList<String> names = new ArrayList<String>();  
ArrayListTools.head(names);
```

## Generic methods II

Is it working if we write the following?

```
ArrayList<String> names = new ArrayList<String>();  
ArrayListTools.head(names);
```

- No! `ArrayList<String>` does not inherit from `ArrayList<Object>`.
- *Invariant types*: Inheritance is not propagated to type parameters, *i.e.*, `X<T>` and `X<U>` are never subtypes of each other.
- *Covariant types*: This is not the case with array, *i.e.*, `String[]` is a subtype of `Object[]`.

## Generic methods II

Is it working if we write the following?

```
ArrayList<String> names = new ArrayList<String>();  
ArrayListTools.head(names);
```

- No! `ArrayList<String>` does not inherit from `ArrayList<Object>`.
- *Invariant types*: Inheritance is not propagated to type parameters, *i.e.*, `X<T>` and `X<U>` are never subtypes of each other.
- *Covariant types*: This is not the case with array, *i.e.*, `String[]` is a subtype of `Object[]`.

We should use a generic method:

### Generic method

```
public class ArrayListTools {  
    public static <T> T head(ArrayList<T> data) {  
        return data.get(0);  
    }  
}
```

## Bounded type parameters

When a class is instantiated with a generic type T, it has no information on T, thus cannot call any method on this object.

*We can bound the type.*

```
class SortedArrayList<T extends Comparable> {  
    private T[] data;  
    // ...  
    data[i].compareTo(data[i+1]); // ok, T implements Comparable.  
}
```

- Subtlety: We use `extends` even if `Comparable` is an interface.
- We can also give several type bounds: `<T extends Comparable & Cloneable>`.

## More on generics

- Lower and upper type bounds.
- Wildcard (<?>).
- ...

More on the topic:

- *Effective Java, Chapter 5.*
- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generics\\_in\\_Java](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generics_in_Java)
- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wildcard\\_%28Java%29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wildcard_%28Java%29)
- On a more general topic: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Covariance\\_and\\_contravariance\\_%28computer\\_science%29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Covariance_and_contravariance_%28computer_science%29)
- Another book: *Java Generics and Collections, Maurice Naftalin and Philip Wadler, O'reilly, 2006*